OCIO Project #: 3855-1

OCIO Project #: 3600-1			C1-1	D
Department: Sierra Nevada Conservancy	<u></u>		Status	Repo
Revision Date: 7/29/09				
Progress Papart Toam Mo	mbor to I	Project Manage		
Progress Report Team Me	Iliber to i	Project Manager	1	
Task Summary				
		Scheduled	Actual	
Task or Deliverable			Completion Date	Issues?
Accomplished this week			l l	
Addomphonou and wook				
Planned/Scheduled Completion in Next Two Weeks				
Status Summary	Yes/No		Explanation	
Will all assigned tasks be accomplished by their due date?			— -	
Will all assigned tasks be accomplished by their due date?				
Are there any planned tasks that won't be completed?				
Are there problems which affect your ability to accomplish assigned				
tasks?				
Do you plan to take time off that is not currently scheduled?				

Project Name: Grant Management Business Process System (GM F

C	Δ	_	P	Λ	/	١	V
•	_	_			/ 8	III.	•

Project Name: Grant Management Business Process System (GM F	
OCIO Project #: 3855-1	Otatus Baran
Department: Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Status Report
Revision Date: 7/29/09	

Status of Assigned Issues

Issue Number	Description	Due Date	Status

Status Report - Project Manager to Sponsor

Current Status Report

Questions	Yes/No	Cause	Impact	Action Required
Were recent milestones completed on schedule?	No	staff scheduling	UAT started 7/20 instead of 6/10/09	none
2. Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled?	Yes	"Go Live" postponed	none	none
3. Was work done that was not planned?	No			
4. Were there any changes to scope?	No			
5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated?	No			
6. Were any tasks or milestones removed?	No			
7. Were any scheduled tasks not started?				
8. Are there any new major issues?				
9. Are there any staffing problems?	no			

Project Name: Grant Management Business Process System (GM F	
OCIO Project #: 3855-1	Otatus Damont
Department: Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Status Report
Revision Date: 7/29/09	

Look Ahead View

Questions	Yes/No	Impact	Action Required
Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed?	Yes		
2. Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled?	Yes	Pushes "Go Live" date further back	Key User Training needsto be rescheduled
3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done?	No		
4. Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope?	No		
5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added?		(Ask JK or KT about adding modifications from budget)	
Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan?	No		
7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed?			
8. Are any major new issues foreseeable?			
Are any staffing problems anticipated?			

CA-PMM

Project Name: <u>(</u>	Grant Management	t Business Process S	System (GM F			
OCIO Project #: 3	3855-1				C1-1.	.a Danart
Department: Sierra Nevada Conservancy					Statt	ıs Report
Revision Date: 7	7/29/09					
Current Status and		ts: milestones met durin	a this report	ing pariod		
Describe deliverable	ss completed and t	THEOLONICO MOL GAME	g uns report	ту репос.		
Project Milestones: List key milestones an		ne project schedule.				
-	lilestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	Cause & Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
Variances Check the appropriate "Significant Variance".		t element listed below.	Please describ	oe the actions you	u plan to take for those items marke	ed "Caution" or
	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant \		Action Required	
Schedule						
Milestones						
Deliverables						
Resources						
OneTime Cost						
Continuing Cost						

Project Name:	Grant Management Business Process System (C	3M F

OCIO Project #: 3855-1

Department: Sierra Nevada Conservancy

Revision Date: 7/29/09

Status Report

Status Reports – Sponsor to Steering Committee

Summary Milestones and Highlights

Project Milestones: List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind.					
Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	If Delayed, Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed

Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

* Priority of schedule, scope, budget, and quality from Final Ranking established in the Priority Analysis

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule				
Milestones				
Deliverables				
Resources				
One Time Cost				
Continuing Cost				

Project Name: Grant Management Business Process System (GM F

OCIO Project #: 3855-1

Department: Sierra Nevada Conservancy

Revision Date: 7/29/09

Status Report

Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard

Vital Sign	Variance	Value	Your Score
1. Customer Buy-In	High Degree of Buy-In	0	
	Medium Degree of Buy-In	1	
	Low Degree of Buy-In	2	
	Strong Viability	0	
2. Technology Viability	Medium Viability	1	
	Weak Viability	2	
3. Status of the Critical Path (delay)	<5%	0	
	5% to 10%	1	
	>10%	2	
1 0 11 5 1 5 1 10 1	<5%	0	
 Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost- to-Date (higher) 	5% to 10%	1	
to-Date (fligher)	>10%	2	
5 - Liink Back abilita Liink lasa at	0 to 3	0	
5. High-Probability, High-Impact Risks	4 to 6	1	
	>6	2	
6. Unresolved Issues	On time	0	
(on time resolution)	Late with no impact	1	
	Late impacting the critical path	2	
7. Sponsorship Commitment	Fully engaged	0	
	Partially engaged	1	
	Inadequate enagement	2	
8. Strategy Alignment	Strong alignment	0	
	Partial alignment	1	
	Weak or no alignment	2	
9. Value-to-Business	Strong	0	
	Medium	1	
	Weak	2	

Project Name: Grant Management Business Process System (GM F	
OCIO Project #: <u>3855-1</u>	Ototoo Domont
Department: Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Status Report
Revision Date: 7/29/09	

10. Vendor Viability (provide	Strong	0	
rationale for the rating in the field	Medium	1	
following the scorecard) 11. Milestone Hit Rate (rate of achievement as planned)	Weak	2	
	>90% on time	0	
	80-90% on time	1	
12. Deliverable Hit Rate	<80% on time	2	
	>90% on time	0	
	80-90% on time	1	
(rate of production as planned) 13. Actual vs. Planned Resources	<80% on time	2	
	>90% assigned and available	0	
	80-90% assigned and available	1	
14. Overtime Utilization (% of effort that is overtime)	<80% assigned and available	2	
	<15%	0	
	15-25%	1	
15. Team Effectiveness	>25%	2	
	Highly Effective	0	
	Moderately Effective	1	
	Ineffective	2	
	0		

Green = 0 - 8 Yellow = 9 - 19Red = 20 +

Vendor Viability Rating Rationale			